

VANCOUVER BOARD OF EDUCATION

SPECIAL BOARD / COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

AGENDA

(Updated February 11, 2020)

Tuesday, February 11, 2020 at 7:00 pm in the Boardroom

CALL MEETING TO ORDER 1.

INDIGENOUS LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT 1.1

The meeting is being held on the traditional unceded territory of the Musqueam, Squamish and Tsleil-Waututh Nations.

1.2 **OPENING REMARKS** The meeting is being live-streamed and the audio and visual recording will also be available to the public for viewing after the meeting. The footage of the meeting may be viewed inside and outside of Canada.

2. MOTION TO DISSOLVE THE BOARD MEETING INTO COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

That the Board dissolve itself into Committee-of-the-Whole

3. **DELEGATIONS RE BUDGET PRIORITIES FOR DEVELOPMENT OF THE 2020-2021 OPERATING BUDGET**

3.1 **Stakeholder Delegations:**

- 3.1.1 Vancouver Elementary School Teachers' Association (VESTA) – Jill Barclay, Donna Brack and Allison Jambor
- Vancouver Secondary Teachers' Association (VSTA) Katharine Shipley and Terry Stanway 3.1.2
- International Union of Operating Engineers (IUOE), Local 963 Tim DeVivo 3.1.3

3.2 **Other Delegations**

Templeton Secondary Parents' Advisory Council (PAC) - Linda Jack 3.2.1

MOTION TO RISE AND REPORT FROM THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE 4.

That the Committee-of-the-Whole rise and report.

5. MOTION TO RECONVENE THE BOARD MEETING

That the Board meeting be reconvened.

6. **ADJOURNMENT**

TIMELINE FOR 2020-2021 BUDGET DEVELOPMENT

Date	Meeting	Purpose
September 18, 2019	Finance Committee	Draft Budget process and timeline
November 13, 2019	Finance Committee	Budget process and timeline
November 25, 2019	Board Public Meeting	Approve budget process and timeline
January 13, 2020	Stakeholder Workshop – CUPE 407	
January 13, 2020	Stakeholder Workshop – CUPE 15	
January 14, 2020	Stakeholder Workshop – IUOE	
January 14, 2020	Stakeholder Workshop – Trades	
January 15, 2020	Release Budget Process Document	Describe the process and survey assumptions and priorities
January 16, 2020	Stakeholder Workshop – VEPVA/VASSA	· · ·
January 21, 2020	Stakeholder Workshop – DPAC	
January 22, 2020	Stakeholder Workshop - VSTA	
January 22, 2020	Stakeholder Workshop - VESTA	
January 22, 2020	Stakeholder Workshop - PASA	
January 22, 2020	Stakeholder Workshop – VDSC	
February 11, 2020	Committee of the Whole – Ed Centre	Delegations, Stakeholder and public input on priorities for development of the 2020-2021 Operating Budget
February 19, 2020	Finance Committee	Amended Budget, review COW input, stakeholder workshops feedback
February 24, 2020	Board Public Meeting	Amended Budget Approval
March 11, 2020	Committee of the Whole – School Location (Lord Nelson Elementary)	Stakeholder and public input
March 13, 2020		MoE Funding Announcement
March 16 – 27, 2020	Spring Break	Develop Budget 2020-2021 draft
March 16, 2020	Budget Survey Open	Survey open for input – budget priorities, budget proposals
March 30, 2020	Joint Plenary SLWB / Finance Committee Meeting	Present Status Quo Budget 2020-21 draft
April 6, 2020	Budget Survey Closes	~
April 14, 2020	Committee of the Whole – School Location (Magee Secondary)	Present survey results, delegations
April 27, 2020	Board Public Meeting	Three Readings and Adoption of Budget 2020-2021

Vancouver Elementary School Teachers' Association



VESTA VSB Budget Presentation 2020-21

Thank you for the opportunity to bring forward the issues from our membership as we work through the 2020-21 proposed budget. We continue to have concerns that students in British Columbia are funded \$ 1800 below the national average. This has a significant impact on the services and resources that school boards can provide and we continue to encourage trustees, parents and teachers to advocate to their MLA's for an increase in overall funding for the Education budget.

Anti-racism education is the key to changing behaviours and perspectives in our society. Feachers have been integrating anti-racism education throughout the curriculum; however, they need resources and support in order to navigate these challenging issues. We are requesting that trustees consider the creation of a teacher consultant elementary anti-racism and a DRT anti-racism specifically for elementary. In a district the size of Vancouver we feel that having only one position for the entire system is insufficient and we believe that these teachers could work together and support one another as a team.

*A teacher consultant is defined in the Collective Agreement as "a teacher on a term assignment, who shall by observation, presentation, consultation, and visitation, upon the request of the teacher, the Principal or the Superintendent or designate, assist teachers in improving classroom instruction. "

*The District Resource position is not defined specifically in the Collective Agreement; however, the position details state "the DRT Diversity Anti-racism works as part of the District Learning Services team, supports schools to have safe, inclusive learning environments that value and honor diversity, and helps implement district policy."

During the voluntary Communicating Student Learning Pilot Program support was provided for interested teachers during the lunch hour and after school. Now that the new format is being mandated for the 2020-21 school year VESTA would like to see release time for teacher inservice and training during instructional time. There are many teachers who have no experience with the new competency- based assessment and reporting practices as well as dealing with the new technology in the web-based reporting tool. In-service time to provide support to teachers will be fundamental in determining the success of this major change in reporting practice in the district.

In recent years we have seen reductions to non-enrolling staffing in schools. This has resulted in large caseloads for our members doing the work of supporting students with special needs. We would like to see an increase in non-enrolling staffing at the school level – resource time to support students with special needs and our ELL learners and teacher librarian time to support

G:VF:\2019-20\F--Vancouver School Board\F-1 Board of Trustees\2-11 VESTA VSB Budget Presentation 2020-21_docx

collaboration with classroom teachers. Increases to area counselors, speech and language pathologists and teacher psychologists would also go towards getting supports identified and in place for students. Vancouver is a very complex district and we hope to see trustees advocating for the necessary supports for Vancouver students.

The current policy of not replacing school- based resource teachers until the third day of absence results in reductions to services for students with special needs. We see with the current shortage of TOC's that resource teacners are often pulled from their role in supporting students to cover classes when there is no teacher on call available. This current policy adds to the time that resource programs are cancelled. Replacing resource teachers on the first day of absence would mitigate some of these losses in service to students.

We have heard as of Friday, February 7th that the government is not going to implement changes to the funding model in the form of prevalence- based funding for students with special needs in the 2020-21 budget year. We are pleased to see the government's change of direction but remain concerned that this type of funding model would negatively affect the support for students with special needs. We encourage trustees to speak out against any funding formula model that would reduce service for students in Vancouver.

VESTA Adult Educators have two areas of advocacy for the 2020-21 budget process. First, we appreciate the addition last year of advertising for the adult education program. We hope the Board will continue this promotion for next year and possibly add more specific school-based materials to reach out to specific communities.

Second, we are advocating for a reduction in our class minimum from 26 to 24. This does not change the maximum enrollment which is 30. Currently, each class we offer needs a minimum of 26 students to run. While the board has shown some flexibility with this number in the last quarter, which we appreciate, often classes do not run with 24 or 25 students. When these courses do not run it causes instability in the adult education system, which then diminishes students trust in the Adult Ed system. Many of our students juggle two or more jobs, families and other responsibilities. When a course does not run, next time around they are reluctant to change their busy schedule to register for a course, only to have it cancelled. When classes are cancelled, students either have to wait for the next term or take self-paced courses which is very difficult for many.

Often, the classes that are cancelled are at the foundations level where students are just entering our system for the first time. When we lose them, it means the entire education path of 5 or 6 courses needed to reach high school completion level are never reached. On the other end, high level science and math courses are often cancelled because the minimum threshold of 26 is not met. When these are cancelled there is usually only one other option on the timetable for that course. We believe a reduction in the class minimum to 24 would provide greater stability but minimal cost to the VSB. We ask that the trustees re-evaluate the policy of the minimum level of 26 students needed to run an adult education course in Vancouver.

х.

Thank you.

12

Jill Barclay, VESTA President Donna Brack, Adult Education President Allison Jambor, VESTA 1st Vice-President

0.61

VSTA Budget Response - DRAFT

Budget Related Considerations for the 2020-21 and future Budget Processes:

• A Definition of Equity:

The concept of equity arises frequently in budget related discussions at the Committee and Board levels as well as in our conversations with our members. It would be helpful to have a clearer idea of what we mean by 'equity' in different contexts. We propose the formation of a facilitated working group or study group to study this question.

A review of how school-generated revenue is obtained and allocated:

Schools generate revenue in a variety of ways. Some of this revenue, such as that resulting from vending machine sales and location rentals, could be considered to be 'unrestricted'. Ne propose that a working group be formed to identify the issues and propose policy and procedures.

A review of school-level financial reporting and spending:

Different schools have different practices regarding the sharing of school financial information. We propose that for the benefit of both Staff Committees and school administration teams, a set of guidelines be developed. (This work could be included in the school-generated revenue review.)

Graduation Program Student Course Selection:

School districts around the province appear to have different expectations with respect to student course load at the grades 10, 11, and 12 levels. We advocate for a review of our District's position on this matter with a view to encouraging students to take advantage of the educational opportunities that we offer. The review should encompass academic as well as elective courses.

A review of the School Organization Allocation (SOA) formula:

The formulas embedded in the school SOA spreadsheets determine initial staffing levels to schools. We are concerned that these formulas are not always accurate in reflecting the number of student requests for a particular course. We are concerned when we hear of sections with demand in the low to mid 20s being cancelled due to low enrollment. We have also long advocated for an alternative presentation of the school admin allocation. (We are encouraged that this review may emerge from the work of the Secondary Staffing Working Group.)

• A review of District Choice Programs from an equity perspective:

The view has been expressed by some of our members and others that, despite fees, District choice programs consume a disproportionate amount of resources on a per student basis. Concerns have also been raised about equity issues related to enrollment in these programs. Despite these concerns, there are compelling arguments in favour of maintaining these programs. The VSTA would be interested in participating in study sessions which examine the various questions that surround these programs.

• Cost to VSB of Provincially Supported Private Schools:

Different secondary schools have been allocated different numbers of Department Head positions based on student population. It is our understanding that the allocation has not been reviewed for several years. Particularly during a period of new curriculum implementation, department heads provide valuable support to both teachers and administrators.

Sustainability savings:

We believe that capital expenses (heat recovery systems, solar panels etc.) that can be shown to be recoverable in reduced utility costs should be funded by the Ministry through a loan program. We would be pleased to participate in advocating for such a program.

• The Cost of Keeping Schools Open?

Budget Proposals:

Support for Indigenous Focussed Curriculum:

Allocated staffing to be used for school-wide support for indigenous curriculum or First Peoples courses.) (5.1429 FTE on-going, 2 blocks per secondary school.) \$550K

Support for Students with Special Needs (G,Q):

In speaking with teachers in other Districts, it is our understanding that the our interpretation of the Jackson Arbitration decision has resulted, on a proportional basis, in more students losing Ministry funding than in other districts. We are concerned that this will have an impact on staffing levels for 2020-21 and we encourage management and trustees to explore strategies to maintain the existing level of support.

• Support for International Students:

- Support for ECTs:
- Support for New Curriculum Implementation:
- Investment in Equipment and Learning Resources:



International Union of Operating Engineers

Local No. 963

707 Durward Street, Vancouver, B.C. V5V 2Y9 Tel: (604) 876-6287 Fax:(604) 876-5687 www.iuoe963.ca

International Union of Operating Engineers, Local 963

Re: VSB Operating Budget 2020/2021

February 11, 2020

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the VSB's 2020/2021 Operating Budget. Our submission at this time will be brief and focus on school cafeterias.

Cafeteria Equipment & Infrastructure

In budget year 2018/19 the district identified a required investment of between \$500,000 to \$800,000 per high school cafeteria, a total of between \$8.5 million and \$13.6 million dollars.

An "equipment maintenance program" was suggested in the absence of retrofitting complete new kitchens. The 2018/19 Operating Budget allotted only \$200,000, a mere fraction of what has been identified as needing repair or replacement in the district.

Given the *10 Year Food Vision* that the district is currently contemplating there are a number of measures that can be undertaken while that visioning process is underway. What we know so far is that the cafeteria file needs attention.

The elements of the 10 Year Vision motion, carried by the Board September 23, 2019 include,

- Food Programs, including Breakfast and Lunch
- Food Growing
- Food Production
- Distribution
- Nutrition
- Food Waste
- Security
- Donations
- Education
- Funding & Lobbying
- Identifying Capital and Operating Costs

STEPS THAT CAN BE TAKEN NOW

Bulk Purchasing: Economies of scale can be realized by teaming up with other civic partners, specifically, the City of Vancouver and Vancouver Parks Board to bulk purchase food edibles and supplies. We understand this is done with the VSB Operations Department with respect to supplies and has been successful. Given that the City and Parks Board operate various food outlets and concessions there are surely opportunities to save through bulk purchasing.

Communicate to Cafeteria Workers that their jobs are not on the chopping block: The release of consultant reports and internal *VSB Food Working Group* documents in October 2019 shocked and upset the cafeteria members we represent, many of whom have been loyal employees for decades. The reports suggested privatization and closing many school cafeterias and should not have been rolled out in what can only be characterized as floating a trial balloon.

These reports were released publicly prior to upcoming *10 Year Food Vision* consultations. Further, these matters were not raised with the union in recent collective bargaining and we will have further comment on that particularly matter through the *Student Learning and Well-Being Committee*.

<u>Catering</u>: In a 2016 report to the Board authored by Kathleen Ponsart it was noted "Catering is a necessary part of the Curriculum for both the Culinary Arts and Ace It Programs." What followed, however, was a directive from *VSB Food Services* telling staff in a November 27, 2017 bulletin to stop catering:

"Catering- No Catering

.....

It is understandable that "Saying No" to catering requests is awkward with Principals and staff. I will provide assistance in sharing the catering message if required. The Catering Guidelines were approved for use by the District and communicated by the Senior Management Team, so it is important that we follow the guidance we have been given.

You have been directed by me to follow the 2017/18 Catering Guidelines. If you have any questions, let me know."

The following tables represent catering revenue in years 2005/06 and 2006/07. This revenue was generated by <u>VSB-run cafeterias</u> and in 2019 dollars was about \$450,000 per year, not an insignificant amount. In 2019, catering revenues from ALL Secondary sites (17) was only \$134,162. The "no-Catering" policy had a clear impact on cafeteria revenues.

Thank you for your time.

2

Table 1. Catering Revenue- Historical Data Catering Revenue 2005/2006 by Site

ŝ,

School	Catering Revenue 2005/2006	Adjusted to 2019 Dollars
Gladstone Secondary	\$ 11,288	\$ 14,146
Vancouver Technical Secondary	\$ 20,854	\$ 26,134
Templeton Secondary	\$ 4,240	\$ 5,314
David Thompson Secondary	\$ 23,154	\$ 29,017
Charles Tupper Secondary	\$ 26,204	\$ 32,839
Windermere Secondary	\$ 14,318	\$ 17,943
Britannia Secondary	\$ 2,261	\$2,834
John Oliver Secondary	\$ 52,011	\$ 65,181
Education Centre	*\$ 195,105	*See Non-Teaching Total
Non-Teaching Cafeterias (Killarney, Education Centre)	\$ 220,148	\$ 275,892
Catering Revenue 2005/2006:	\$ 374,478	\$ 469,300

Table 2. Catering Revenue- Historical Data Catering Revenue 2006/2007 by Site

School	Catering Revenue 2006/2007	Adjusted to 2019 Dollars
Gladstone Secondary	\$ 13,818	\$ 17,317
Vancouver Technical Secondary	\$ 21,762	\$ 27,272
Templeton Secondary	\$ 4,693	\$ 5,881
David Thompson Secondary	\$ 6,156	\$ 7,715
Charles Tupper Secondary	\$ 22,280	\$ 27,922
Windermere Secondary	\$ 21,360	\$ 26,769
Britannia Secondary	\$ 1,822	\$2,283
John Oliver Secondary	\$ 40,415	\$ 50,649
Education Centre	*\$ 197,618	*See Non-Teaching Total
Non-Teaching Cafeterias (Killarney, Churchill, Education Centre)	\$ 226,230	\$ 283,514
Catering Revenue 2006/2007:	\$ 358,536	\$ 449,321

TPAC

Templeton Secondary School Parent Advisory Committee

Templeton Secondary School – Library 727 Templeton Drive, Vancouver V5L 4N8 Unceded traditional territory of the Musqueam, Tsleil Waututh & Squamish Coast Salish peoples.

February 10, 2020

To Whom It May Concern,

We write to you as co-chairs of Templeton Secondary School's Parent Advisory Committee (PAC), and with great concern that one of the Vancouver School Board's most successful programs, Culinary Arts/Cook Training, is at risk of being shut down. We also want to see the program reinstated on the curriculum at Templeton Secondary School. Our "Culinary Arts/Cook Training" program had been operating since the 1980's and had been highly regarded amongst the Vancouver school district and within the culinary industry.

TSS students learned to master everything from appetizers to entrees in Eastern and Western cuisine, serving up more than 500 meals a day to staff and students at the school. They also learned the skills necessary to run a successful catering business, that served businesses and groups in the community. The program had been so motivating for students that many went on to work at some of the best restaurants in town, or have travelled the world, using the skills acquired. As well, TSS ran a teaching cafeteria, which allowed the students to expand even more upon their skills, allowing them to be prime candidates for hiring in the restaurant industry. Cooking and meal preparation are skills that cross language barriers, making this a program that students who may be struggling academically because of language issues can do well in and feel good about. This value cannot be understated. The program also provided a window into the thriving food industry in this province - an industry that students of all socio-economic backgrounds can access and earn success in.

The teacher shortage within the VSB system caused the invaluable TSS program to not be offered following the Culinary Arts/Cook Training teacher's retirement. However, it is a desperately needed program at TSS, for all the reasons described above, and more. Students need to have the choices to prepare for their future, and choices that will ensure them success in their adult lives. Culinary/Cook training in their neighbourhood high school is one very vital offering, and a widely recognized, important skill to cultivate.

We also want to see the current cafeteria program remain and be maintained. It is vital in providing healthy, fresh made hot meals for the student population, at an affordable price point. It is appreciated by students and their families alike. Please ensure that TSS and other VSB schools don't lose this or other vitally needed healthy food programs. These programs help to allow all students to be nourished without being stigmatized.

Thank you for considering our request,

Sarah Chapman-Chen / Erica Johnson

PAC Co-chairs